

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,381	12/16/2005	Shafidul Islam	102423-108	9287
27267 WIGGIN ANI	7590 06/20/200 DANA LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832			YEUNG LOPEZ, FEIFEI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	2826		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/561,381	ISLAM ET AL.					
		A					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FEI FEI YEUNG LOPEZ	2826					
	TETTE TEGROLOTEE	2020					

	FEI FEI YEUNG LOPEZ	2826							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the pelition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate have been filled is the date for unproses of determining the pend of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office a set forth in (b) above; if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final erjection, ever may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compilance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sinc Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause						
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucina or simplifyina ti	ne issues for						
appeal; and/or	or to materially rec	raomig or omipmymig a	10 100400 101						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	 See attached Notice of Non-Cor 	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•							
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and								
	Notice of Anneal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief w	ill not be						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provid showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \(\sigma\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance beca The added limitations (such as "a plurality of electrically isolated routing circuits" in claim 1) raise new issues that require exempts.									
search 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
/Leonardo Andújar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2826									

•

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations (such as "a plurality of electrically isolated routing circuits" in claim 1) raise new issues that require further search..